

# Coefficientwise total positivity (via continued fractions) for some Hankel matrices of combinatorial polynomials

Alan Sokal

New York University / University College London

Séminaire de combinatoire Philippe Flajolet

5 June 2014

## Key references:

1. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, *Discrete Math.* **32**, 125–161 (1980).
2. Viennot, *Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux* (UQAM, 1983).

## Positive semidefiniteness vs. total positivity

Compare the following two properties for matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ :

- $A$  is called *positive semidefinite* if it is square ( $m = n$ ), symmetric, and all its *principal* minors are nonnegative (i.e.  $\det A_{II} \geq 0$  for all  $I \subseteq [n]$ ).
- $A$  is called *totally positive* if *all* its minors are nonnegative (i.e.  $\det A_{IJ} \geq 0$  for all  $I \subseteq [m]$  and  $J \subseteq [n]$ ).

From the point of view of general linear algebra:

- Positive semidefiniteness is *natural*: it is equivalent to the positive semidefiniteness of a quadratic form on a vector space, and hence is basis-independent.
- Total positivity is *unnatural*: it is grossly basis-dependent.

This talk is about the “unnatural” property of total positivity.

## Positive semidefiniteness vs. total positivity

Compare the following two properties for matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ :

- $A$  is called *positive semidefinite* if it is square ( $m = n$ ), symmetric, and all its *principal* minors are nonnegative (i.e.  $\det A_{II} \geq 0$  for all  $I \subseteq [n]$ ).
- $A$  is called *totally positive* if *all* its minors are nonnegative (i.e.  $\det A_{IJ} \geq 0$  for all  $I \subseteq [m]$  and  $J \subseteq [n]$ ).

From the point of view of general linear algebra:

- Positive semidefiniteness is *natural*: it is equivalent to the positive semidefiniteness of a quadratic form on a vector space, and hence is basis-independent.
- Total positivity is *unnatural*: it is grossly basis-dependent.

This talk is about the “unnatural” property of total positivity.

### What total positivity is *really* about:

Functions  $F: S \times T \rightarrow R$  where

- $S$  and  $T$  are *totally ordered* sets, and
- $R$  is a *partially ordered commutative ring* (traditionally  $R = \mathbb{R}$ , but we will generalize this)

## Some references on total positivity

### **The classics:**

1. Gantmakher and Krein, Sur les matrices complètement non négatives et oscillatoires, *Compositio Math.* **4**, 445–476 (1937).
2. Gantmakher and Krein, *Oscillation Matrices and Kernels and Small Vibrations of Mechanical Systems* (2nd Russian edition, 1950; English translation by AMS, 2002).
3. Karlin, *Total Positivity* (Stanford UP, 1968).
4. Ando, Totally positive matrices, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **90**, 165–219 (1987).

### **Two recent books:**

1. Pinkus, *Totally Positive Matrices* (Cambridge UP, 2010).
2. Fallat and Johnson, *Totally Nonnegative Matrices* (Princeton UP, 2011).

### **Applications to combinatorics:**

1. Brenti, Unimodal, log-concave and Pólya frequency sequences in combinatorics, *Memoirs AMS* **81**, no. 413 (1989).
2. Brenti, The applications of total positivity to combinatorics, and conversely. In: *Total Positivity and its Applications* (1996).
3. Skandera, Introductory notes on total positivity (2003).

## Log-concavity and log-convexity in combinatorics

A sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  of nonnegative real numbers (indexed by an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ) is called

- *log-concave* if  $a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \leq a_n^2$  for all  $n$
- *log-convex* if  $a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \geq a_n^2$  for all  $n$

Many important combinatorial sequences are log-concave (cf. Stanley 1989 review article) or log-convex.

For a triangular array  $T_{n,k}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ), typically:

- “Horizontal sequences” ( $n$  fixed,  $k$  varying) are log-concave.
- “Vertical” sequence of row sums is log-convex.

**Examples:** Binomial coefficients, Stirling numbers of both kinds, Eulerian numbers, ...

Proofs can be combinatorial or analytic.

## Strengthenings of log-concavity and log-convexity: Toeplitz- and Hankel-total positivity

To each two-sided-infinite sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  we associate the *Toeplitz matrix*

$$T_\infty(\mathbf{a}) = (a_{j-i})_{i,j \geq 0} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots \\ a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $\mathbf{a}$  is one-sided infinite  $(a_0, a_1, \dots)$  or finite  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , set all “missing” entries to zero.

- We say that the sequence  $\mathbf{a}$  is *Toeplitz-totally positive* if the Toeplitz matrix  $T_\infty(\mathbf{a})$  is totally positive. [Also called “Pólya frequency sequence”.]
- This implies that the sequence is *log-concave*, but is much stronger.

To each one-sided-infinite sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)_{k \geq 0}$  we associate the *Hankel matrix*

$$H_\infty(\mathbf{a}) = (a_{i+j})_{i,j \geq 0} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

- We say that the sequence  $\mathbf{a}$  is *Hankel-totally positive* if the Hankel matrix  $H_\infty(\mathbf{a})$  is totally positive.
- This implies that the sequence is *log-convex*, but is much stronger.

## Characterization of Toeplitz-total positivity

### **Aissen–Schoenberg–Whitney–Edrei theorem (1952–53):**

1. Finite sequence  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is Toeplitz-TP iff the polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  has all its zeros in  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

2. One-sided infinite sequence  $(a_0, a_1, \dots)$  is Toeplitz-TP iff

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = e^{\gamma z} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha_i z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_i z)}$$

in some neighborhood of  $z = 0$ , with  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i \alpha_i, \sum_i \beta_i < \infty$ .

3. Similar but more complicated representation for two-sided-infinite sequences.

Proofs of #2 and #3 rely on Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions.

**Open problem:** Find a more elementary proof.

See Brenti for many combinatorial applications of Toeplitz-total positivity.

## Characterization of Hankel-total positivity

For a sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)_{k \geq 0}$ , define also the  $m$ -shifted Hankel matrix

$$H_\infty^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}) = (a_{i+j+m})_{i,j \geq 0} = \begin{pmatrix} a_m & a_{m+1} & a_{m+2} & \cdots \\ a_{m+1} & a_{m+2} & a_{m+3} & \cdots \\ a_{m+2} & a_{m+3} & a_{m+4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall that the sequence  $\mathbf{a}$  is *Hankel-totally positive* in case the Hankel matrix  $H_\infty^{(0)}(\mathbf{a})$  is totally positive.

**Fundamental result** (Stieltjes 1894, Gantmakher–Krein 1937, ...):

For a sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  of real numbers, the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $H_\infty^{(0)}(\mathbf{a})$  is totally positive.
- (b) Both  $H_\infty^{(0)}(\mathbf{a})$  and  $H_\infty^{(1)}(\mathbf{a})$  are positive-semidefinite.
- (c) There exists a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $a_k = \int x^k d\mu(x)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .  
[That is,  $(a_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is a Stieltjes moment sequence.]
- (d) There exist numbers  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots \geq 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 t}{1 - \frac{\alpha_2 t}{1 - \dots}}}$$

in the sense of formal power series.

[Stieltjes-type continued fraction with nonnegative coefficients]



From numbers to polynomials

[or, From counting to counting-with-weights]

**Some simple examples:**

1. Counting subsets of  $[n]$ :  $a_n = 2^n$

Counting subsets of  $[n]$  by cardinality:  $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$

2. Counting partitions of  $[n]$ :  $a_n = B_n$  (Bell number)

Counting partitions of  $[n]$  by number of blocks:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} x^k \quad (\text{Bell polynomial})$$

3. Counting non-crossing partitions of  $[n]$ :  $a_n = C_n$  (Catalan number)

Counting non-crossing partitions of  $[n]$  by number of blocks:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n N(n, k) x^k \quad (\text{Narayana polynomial})$$

4. Counting permutations of  $[n]$ :  $a_n = n!$

Counting permutations of  $[n]$  by number of cycles:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^k$$

Counting permutations of  $[n]$  by number of descents:

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle x^k \quad (\text{Eulerian polynomial})$$

An industry in combinatorics:  $q$ -Narayana polynomials,  $p, q$ -Bell polynomials, ...

## Sequences and matrices of polynomials

- Consider sequences and matrices whose entries are *polynomials* with real coefficients in one or more indeterminates  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- $P \succeq 0$  means that  $P$  has nonnegative coefficients.  
 (“coefficientwise partial order on the ring  $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ ”)
- More generally, consider sequences and matrices with entries in a *partially ordered commutative ring*  $R$ .

We say that a sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  of nonnegative elements of  $R$  is

- *log-concave* if  $a_{n-1}a_{n+1} - a_n^2 \leq 0$  for all  $n$
- *strongly log-concave* if  $a_{k-1}a_{l+1} - a_k a_l \leq 0$  for all  $k \leq l$
- *log-convex* if  $a_{n-1}a_{n+1} - a_n^2 \geq 0$  for all  $n$
- *strongly log-convex* if  $a_{k-1}a_{l+1} - a_k a_l \geq 0$  for all  $k \leq l$

For sequences of *real* numbers,

- Strongly log-concave  $\iff$  log-concave with no internal zeros.
- Strongly log-convex  $\iff$  log-convex.

But on  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  this is not so:

**Example:** The sequence  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a_3 = 2 + x + 3x^2 \\ a_1 &= a_2 = 1 + 2x + 2x^2 \end{aligned}$$

is log-convex but not strongly log-convex.

We say that a matrix with entries in  $R$  is *totally positive* if every minor is nonnegative (in  $R$ ).

Toeplitz (resp. Hankel) total positivity implies the *strong* log-concavity (resp. *strong* log-convexity).

## Coefficientwise Hankel-total positivity for sequences of polynomials

Many interesting sequences of polynomials  $(P_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  have been proven in recent years to be coefficientwise (strongly) log-convex:

- Binomials  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k = (1+x)^n$  [trivial]
- Bell polynomials  $B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \{n\}_k x^k$   
(Liu–Wang 2007, Chen–Wang–Yang 2011)
- Narayana polynomials  $N_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n N(n, k) x^k$   
(Chen–Wang–Yang 2010)
- Narayana polynomials of type B:  $W_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 x^k$   
(Chen–Tang–Wang–Yang 2010)
- Eulerian polynomials  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle n \rangle_k x^k$   
(Liu–Wang 2007, Zhu 2013)

Might these sequences actually be coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive?

- In many cases I can prove that the answer is **yes**, by using the Flajolet–Viennot method of *continued fractions*.
- In several other cases I have strong **empirical evidence** that the answer is **yes**, but no proof.
- The continued-fraction approach gives a *sufficient but not necessary* condition for coefficientwise Hankel-total positivity.

The combinatorics of continued fractions (Flajolet 1980)

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of elements in a commutative ring  $R$ . We associate to  $\mathbf{a}$  the formal power series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in R[[t]]$$

We now consider two types of continued fractions:

- Continued fractions of Stieltjes type (S-type):

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 t}{1 - \frac{\alpha_2 t}{1 - \frac{\alpha_3 t}{1 - \dots}}}},$$

which we denote by  $S(t; \boldsymbol{\alpha})$  where  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

- Continued fractions of Jacobi type (J-type):

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \gamma_2 t - \frac{\beta_3 t^2}{1 - \gamma_3 t - \dots}}}},$$

which we denote by  $J(t; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$  where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

## The combinatorics of continued fractions (continued)

**Theorem** (Flajolet 1980): As an identity in  $\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{\alpha}][[t]]$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 t}{1 - \frac{\alpha_2 t}{1 - \dots}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) t^n$$

where  $S_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is the generating polynomial for Dyck paths of length  $2n$  in which each fall starting at height  $i$  gets weight  $\alpha_i$ .

$S_n(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$  is called the *Stieltjes–Rogers polynomial* of order  $n$ .

**Theorem** (Flajolet 1980): As an identity in  $\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}][[t]]$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \gamma_2 t - \dots}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) t^n$$

where  $J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$  is the generating polynomial for Motzkin paths of length  $n$  in which each level step at height  $i$  gets weight  $\gamma_i$  and each fall starting at height  $i$  gets weight  $\beta_i$ .

$J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$  is called the *Jacobi–Rogers polynomial* of order  $n$ .

## Hankel matrix of Stieltjes–Rogers polynomials

Now form the infinite Hankel matrix corresponding to the sequence  $\mathbf{S} = (S_n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))_{n \geq 0}$  of Stieltjes–Rogers polynomials:

$$H_\infty(\mathbf{S}) = (S_{i+j}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))_{i,j \geq 0}$$

And consider any minor of  $H_\infty(\mathbf{S})$ :

$$\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{S}) = \det H_{IJ}(\mathbf{S})$$

where  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  with  $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$   
and  $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$  with  $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$

**Theorem** (Viennot 1983): The minor  $\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{S})$  is the generating polynomial for families of disjoint Dyck paths  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  where path  $P_r$  starts at  $(-2i_r, 0)$  and ends at  $(2j_r, 0)$ , in which each fall starting at height  $i$  gets weight  $\alpha_i$ .

The proof uses the Karlin–McGregor–Lindström–Gessel–Viennot lemma on families of nonintersecting paths.

**Corollary:** The sequence  $\mathbf{S} = (S_n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))_{n \geq 0}$  is a Hankel-totally positive sequence in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]$  equipped with the coefficientwise partial order.

Now specialize  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  to nonnegative elements in any partially ordered commutative ring:

**Corollary:** Let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of nonnegative elements in a partially ordered commutative ring  $R$ . Then  $(S_n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))_{n \geq 0}$  is a Hankel-totally positive sequence in  $R$ .

## Hankel matrix of Stieltjes–Rogers polynomials (continued)

Can also get explicit formulae for the Hankel determinants

$\Delta_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{S}) = \det H_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{S})$  for small  $m$ :

**Theorem:**

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{S}) = (\alpha_1\alpha_2)^{n-1}(\alpha_3\alpha_4)^{n-2} \cdots (\alpha_{2n-3}\alpha_{2n-2})$$

$$\Delta_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{S}) = \alpha_1^n(\alpha_2\alpha_3)^{n-1}(\alpha_4\alpha_5)^{n-2} \cdots (\alpha_{2n-2}\alpha_{2n-1})$$

These formulae are classical in the theory of continued fractions, but Viennot 1983 gives a beautiful combinatorial interpretation.

See also Ishikawa–Tagawa–Zeng 2009 for extensions to  $m = 2, 3$ .

# Finding Hankel-totally positive sequences of polynomials

## A general strategy:

1. Start from a sequence  $(c_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of positive real numbers that is a Stieltjes moment sequence, i.e. is Hankel-totally positive.

[This property is easy to test empirically: just expand the generating series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n$  as an S-type continued fraction and test whether all coefficients  $\alpha_i$  are  $\geq 0$ .]

2. Refine this sequence somehow to a triangular array  $(c_{n,k})_{0 \leq k \leq k_{\max}(n)}$

satisfying 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}(n)} c_{n,k} = c_n;$$

then define the polynomials 
$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}(n)} c_{n,k} x^k.$$

3. By construction, the sequence  $(P_n(1))_{n \geq 0}$  is Hankel-totally positive; and if we are lucky, we will find that two successively stronger properties of Hankel-total positivity also hold:

(a) For each real number  $x \geq 0$ , the sequence  $(P_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  of real numbers is Hankel-totally positive (i.e. is a Stieltjes moment sequence).

(b) The sequence  $(P_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  of polynomials is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive.

- Usually  $(c_n)_{n \geq 0}$  will usually be a sequence of *positive integers* having some combinatorial interpretation, i.e. as the cardinality of some “naturally occurring” set  $\mathcal{S}_n$ .
- Then the  $c_{n,k}$  will arise from the partition of  $\mathcal{S}_n$  into disjoint subsets  $\mathcal{S}_{n,k}$  according to some “natural” statistic  $\kappa: \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .



## Some examples of combinatorial Stieltjes moment sequences

	$n$							Continued fraction	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\alpha_{2k-1}$	$\alpha_{2k}$
Catalan numbers $C_n$	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	1	1
Central binomials $\binom{2n}{n}$	1	2	6	20	70	252	924	$\alpha_1 = 2,$ all others 1	1
Bell numbers $B_n$	1	1	2	5	15	52	203	1	$k$
Irreducible Bell numbers $IB_{n+1}$	1	1	2	6	22	92	426	$k$	1
Factorials $n!$	1	1	2	6	24	120	720	$k$	$k$
Ordered Bell numbers $OB_n$	1	1	3	13	75	541	4683	$k$	$2k$
Odd semifactorials $(2n-1)!!$	1	1	3	15	105	945	10395	$2k-1$	$2k$
Even semifactorials $(2n)!!$	1	2	8	48	384	3840	46080	$2k$	$2k$
Genocchi medians $H_{2n+1}$	1	1	2	8	56	608	9440	$k^2$	$k^2$
Genocchi numbers $G_{2n+2}$	1	1	3	17	155	2073	38227	$k^2$	$k(k+1)$
Secant numbers $E_{2n}$	1	1	5	61	1385	50521	2702765	$(2k-1)^2$	$(2k)^2$
Tangent numbers $E_{2n+1}$	1	2	16	272	7936	353792	22368256	$(2k-1)(2k)$	$(2k)(2k+1)$

So our polynomial examples will divide naturally into “families”: the Catalan family, the Bell family, the factorial family, etc.

Can also pursue this strategy in reverse:

- Find the S-type continued fraction for the generating series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n$ .
- Generalize it by inserting one or more indeterminates  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- Try to compute the corresponding polynomials  $P_n(\mathbf{x})$  and/or find a combinatorial interpretation for them.

### Caveat:

- There also exist important combinatorial Stieltjes moment sequences that do *not* seem to have nice continued fractions.
- Some of them have polynomial refinements that are **empirically** Hankel-totally positive; but new methods will be needed to prove it!

## Example 1: Narayana polynomials

- Narayana numbers  $N(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1}$  for  $n \geq k \geq 1$  with convention  $N(0, k) = \delta_{k0}$
- They refine Catalan numbers:  $\sum_{k=0}^n N(n, k) = C_n$
- They count numerous objects of combinatorial interest:
  - Dyck paths of length  $2n$  with  $k$  peaks
  - Non-crossing partitions of  $[n]$  with  $k$  blocks
  - Non-nesting partitions of  $[n]$  with  $k$  blocks
- Define Narayana polynomials  $N_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n N(n, k) x^k$
- Define ordinary generating function  $\mathcal{N}(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n N_n(x)$
- Elementary “renewal” argument on Dyck paths implies

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{1 - tx - t(\mathcal{N} - 1)}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - t\mathcal{N}}}$$

- Leads immediately to S-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n N_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{t}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{t}{1 - \dots}}}}}$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = 1$ .

## Narayana polynomials (continued)

### Conclusions:

1. The sequence  $\mathbf{N} = (N_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  of Narayana polynomials is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. The minor  $\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{N})$  counts families of disjoint Dyck paths as specified by Viennot 1983, with weights  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = 1$ .

2. The first Hankel determinants  $\Delta_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{N})$  are

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{N}) = x^{n(n-1)/2}$$

$$\Delta_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{N}) = x^{n(n+1)/2}$$

### Remarks:

1. The strong log-convexity was known previously (Chen–Wang–Yang 2010), but with a much more difficult proof.

2. The formula for  $\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{N})$  was also known (Sivasubramanian 2010), by an explicit bijective argument.

## Example 2: Bell polynomials

- Stirling number  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \#$  of partitions of  $[n]$  with  $k$  blocks
- Convention  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \delta_{k0}$
- They refine Bell numbers:  $\sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = B_n$
- Define Bell polynomials  $B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} x^k$
- Define ordinary generating function  $\mathcal{B}(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n B_n(x)$
- Flajolet (1980) expressed  $\mathcal{B}(t, x)$  as a J-type continued fraction
- Can be transformed to an S-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n B_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{1t}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{2t}{1 - \dots}}}}}$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = k$ .

## Bell polynomials (continued)

### Conclusions:

1. The sequence  $\mathbf{B} = (B_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  of Bell polynomials is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. The minor  $\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{B})$  counts families of disjoint Dyck paths as specified by Viennot 1983, with weights  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = k$ .
2. The first Hankel determinants  $\Delta_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{B})$  are

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!$$

$$\Delta_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!$$

### Remarks:

1. The strong log-convexity was known previously (Chen–Wang–Yang 2011).
2. The formula for  $\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{B})$  has also been known for a long time (Radoux 1979, Ehrenborg 2000).
3. For each real number  $x \geq 0$ , the sequence  $(B_n(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is the moment sequence for the Poisson distribution of expected value  $x$ :

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^n \left( e^{-x} \frac{x^k}{k!} \right)$$

Hence  $(B_n(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Hankel-totally positive sequence of real numbers. But the weights  $e^{-x} x^k / k!$  here are not nonnegative elements of  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  or  $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ , so this approach cannot be used to prove the *coefficientwise* total positivity.

### Example 3: Interpolating between Narayana and Bell

- Let  $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$  be a partition of  $[n]$
- Associate to  $\pi$  a graph  $\mathcal{G}_\pi$  with vertex set  $[n]$  such that  $i, j$  are joined by an edge iff they are *consecutive* elements within the same block
- Always write an edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{G}_\pi$  as a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$
- We say that edges  $e_1 = (i_1, j_1)$  and  $e_2 = (i_2, j_2)$  of  $\mathcal{G}_\pi$  form
  - a *crossing* if  $i_1 < i_2 < j_1 < j_2$
  - a *nesting* if  $i_1 < i_2 < j_2 < j_1$
- We define  $\text{cr}(\pi)$  [resp.  $\text{ne}(\pi)$ ] to be number of crossings (resp. nestings) in  $\pi$
- Write  $|\pi| = k$  for the number of blocks in  $\pi$
- Now define the three-variable polynomial

$$B_n(x, p, q) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} x^{|\pi|} p^{\text{cr}(\pi)} q^{\text{ne}(\pi)}$$

with the convention  $B_0(x, p, q) = 1$

- $B_n(x, 0, 1) = B_n(x, 1, 0) = N_n(x)$  and  $B_n(x, 1, 1) = B_n(x)$ , so this polynomial generalizes the Narayana and Bell polynomials.
- Kasraoui and Zeng (2006) have constructed an involution on  $\Pi_n$  that preserves the number of blocks (as well as some other properties) and exchanges the numbers of crossings and nestings; thus  $B_n(x, p, q) = B_n(x, q, p)$ .
- Define ordinary generating function  $\mathcal{B}(t, x, p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n B_n(x, p, q)$

## Interpolating between Narayana and Bell (continued)

- Kasraoui and Zeng (2006) have expressed  $\mathcal{B}(t, x, p, q)$  as a J-type continued fraction
- Can be transformed to an S-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n B_n(x, p, q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{[1]_{p,q}t}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{[2]_{p,q}t}{1 - \dots}}}}}$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = [k]_{p,q}$ , where

$$[k]_{p,q} = \frac{p^k - q^k}{p - q}$$

### Conclusions:

1. The sequence  $\mathbf{B} = (B_n(x, p, q))_{n \geq 0}$  of three-variable polynomials is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. The minor  $\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{B})$  counts families of disjoint Dyck paths as specified by Viennot 1983, with weights  $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = [k]_{p,q}$ .
2. The first Hankel determinants  $\Delta_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{B})$  are

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} [i]_{p,q}!$$

$$\Delta_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} [i]_{p,q}!$$

where

$$[n]_{p,q}! = \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_{p,q} \tag{0.1}$$

## Example 4: Eulerian polynomials

- Eulerian number  $\langle n \rangle_k = \#$  of permutations of  $[n]$  with  $k$  descents
- Convention  $\langle 0 \rangle_k = \delta_{k0}$
- They obviously refine factorials:  $\sum_{k=0}^n \langle n \rangle_k = n!$
- Define Eulerian polynomials  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle n \rangle_k x^k$
- Define ordinary generating function  $\mathcal{A}(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n A_n(x)$
- Flajolet (1980) expressed  $\mathcal{A}(t, x)$  as a J-type continued fraction
- Can be transformed to an S-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n A_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{1 - \frac{xt}{1 - \frac{2t}{1 - \frac{2xt}{1 - \dots}}}}}$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_{2k-1} = k$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = kx$ .



## Eulerian polynomials (continued)

### Conclusions:

1. The sequence  $\mathbf{A} = (A_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  of Eulerian polynomials is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. The minor  $\Delta_{IJ}(\mathbf{A})$  counts families of disjoint Dyck paths as specified by Viennot 1983, with weights  $\alpha_{2k-1} = k$ ,  $\alpha_{2k} = kx$ .
2. The first Hankel determinants  $\Delta_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{A})$  are

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!^2$$

$$\Delta_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{B}) = x^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!^2$$

### Remarks:

1. The (strong) log-convexity was known previously (Liu–Wang 2007, Zhu 2013).
2. The formula for  $\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{A})$  was also known (Sivasubramanian 2010), by an explicit bijective argument.
3. Shin and Zeng (2012) have a  $p, q$ -generalization of this S-type continued fraction  $\implies$  their polynomials  $A_n(x, p, q)$  form a coefficientwise (in  $x, p, q$ ) Hankel-totally positive sequence.

Some cases I am *unable* (as yet) to prove . . .

There are many cases where I find **empirically** that a sequence  $(P_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive, but I am unable to prove it because there is no S-type continued fraction *in the ring of polynomials*:

- Narayana polynomials of type B
- Egecioglu–Redmond–Ryavec polynomials
- Inversion enumerators for trees
- Reduced binomial discriminant polynomials
-

## Narayana polynomials of type B

The polynomials

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 x^k$$

arise as

- Coordinator polynomial of the classical root lattice  $A_n$
- Rank generating function of the lattice of noncrossing partitions of type B on  $[n]$

I follow Chen–Tang–Wang–Yang 2010 in calling them the *Narayana polynomials of type B*.

- **Empirically** the sequence  $(W_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  seems to be coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. I have checked this through the  $12 \times 12$  Hankel matrix.
- There is no S-type continued fraction *in the ring of polynomials*: we have

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots = 1+x, \frac{2x}{1+x}, \frac{1+x^2}{1+x}, \frac{x+x^2}{1+x^2}, \frac{1+x^3}{1+x^2}, \frac{x+x^3}{1+x^3}, \frac{1+x^4}{1+x^3}, \dots$$

- However, there *is* a nice *J-type* continued fraction:  $\gamma_n = 1+x$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2x$ ,  $\beta_n = x$  for  $n \geq 2$ .
- *Maybe* I can use the J-type continued fraction to prove Hankel-total positivity. (I only discovered this 2 days ago!)

## Eğecioğlu–Redmond–Ryavec polynomials

- A *noncrossing graph* is a graph whose vertices are points on a circle and whose edges are non-crossing line segments.
- Noy (1998) showed that the number of noncrossing trees on  $n+2$  vertices in which a specified vertex (say, vertex 1) has degree  $k+1$  is

$$T(n, k) = \frac{k+1}{n+1} \binom{3n-k+1}{n-k} = \frac{2k+2}{3n-k+2} \binom{3n-k+2}{n-k}$$

- Eğecioğlu, Redmond and Ryavec (2001) introduced the polynomials

$$\mathbf{ERR}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n T(n, k) x^k$$

- They showed that, surprisingly, the Hankel determinant  $\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{ERR})$  is independent of  $x$ :

$$\Delta_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{ERR}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\binom{6i-2}{2i}}{2^{\binom{4i-1}{2i}}}$$

This is the number of  $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$  alternating sign matrices that are invariant under vertical reflection.

- **Empirically** I find that the sequence  $(\mathbf{ERR}_n(x))_{n \geq 0}$  is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive. I have checked this through the  $13 \times 13$  Hankel matrix.
- There is no S-type continued fraction *in the ring of polynomials*: we have

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots = 2 + x, \frac{3}{2+x}, \frac{11+10x}{6+3x}, \frac{52+26x}{33+30x}, \dots$$

- However, there seems to be a *J-type* continued fraction where  $\gamma_0 = 2 + x$  and all the other coefficients are numbers.
- *Maybe* I can use the J-type continued fraction to prove Hankel-total positivity. (I only discovered this 2 days ago too!)

## Generating polynomials of connected graphs

- Let  $c_{n,m} = \#$  of connected simple graphs on vertex set  $[n]$  having  $m$  edges
- Define the *generating polynomial of connected graphs*

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(v) &= \sum_{m=n-1}^{\binom{n}{2}} c_{n,m} v^m \\ &= n^{n-2} v^{n-1} + \dots + v^{\binom{n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

- No useful explicit formula for the polynomials  $C_n(v)$  or their coefficients is known.
- But they have the well-known exponential generating function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} C_n(v) = \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} (1+v)^{n(n-1)/2}$$

- Make change of variables  $y = 1+v$  and define  $\overline{C}_n(y) = C_n(y-1)$ :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \overline{C}_n(y) = \log \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} y^{n(n-1)/2}$$

- These formulae can be considered either as identities for formal power series or as analytic statements valid when  $|1+v| \leq 1$  (resp.  $|y| \leq 1$ ).
- In particular we have

$$C_n(-1) = \overline{C}_n(0) = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!$$

- Of course we also have

$$C_n(0) = \overline{C}_n(1) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

since  $C_n(v)$  [resp.  $\overline{C}_n(y)$ ] has an  $(n-1)$ -fold zero at  $v = 0$  [resp.  $y = 1$ ].

## Inversion enumerator for trees

- Let  $T$  be a tree with vertex set  $[n]$ , rooted at the vertex 1.
- An *inversion* of  $T$  is an ordered pair  $(j, k)$  of vertices such that  $j > k > 1$  and the path from 1 to  $k$  passes through  $j$ .
- Let  $i_{n,\ell}$  denote the number of trees on  $[n]$  having  $\ell$  inversions.
- Define the *inversion enumerator for trees*

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\binom{n-1}{2}} i_{n,\ell} \mathbf{y}^\ell \\ &= (n-1)! + \dots + \mathbf{y}^{\binom{n-1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

- The polynomial  $I_n(\mathbf{y})$  turns out to be related to  $C_n(v)$  by the beautiful formula

$$C_n(v) = v^{n-1} I_n(1+v)$$

or equivalently

$$\overline{C}_n(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y} - 1)^{n-1} I_n(\mathbf{y})$$

- This shows in particular that  $I_n(0) = (n-1)!$  and  $I_n(1) = n^{n-2}$ .
- It is useful to define the normalized polynomials

$$I_n^*(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{I_n(\mathbf{y})}{(n-1)!}$$

which have nonnegative rational coefficients and constant term 1.

## Inversion enumerator for trees (continued)

**Fact 1.**  $I_n(y)$  has strictly positive coefficients.

- Nonnegativity is obvious; strict positivity takes a bit of work.

**Fact 2.**  $I_n(y)$  has log-concave coefficients.

- Special case of a deep result of Huh, arXiv:1201.2915, on the log-concavity of the  $h$ -vector of the independent-set complex for matroids representable over a field of characteristic 0: apply it to  $M^*(K_n)$ .
- **Open problem:** Find an elementary direct proof.

Now form the sequence  $\mathbf{I} = (I_{n+1}(y))_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Conjecture 1.** The sequence  $\mathbf{I}$  is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive.

- I have checked this through the  $8 \times 8$  Hankel matrix.
- Even the log-convexity  $I_{n-1}I_{n+1} \succeq I_n^2$  seems to be an open problem!

**Conjecture 2.** The  $2 \times 2$  minors  $I_{m-1}I_{n+1} - I_mI_n$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) have coefficients that are log-concave.

- I have checked this through  $n = 137$ .
- It is false for minors of size  $3 \times 3$  and higher.

## Inversion enumerator for trees (continued)

Now look at the normalized polynomials  $\mathbf{I}^* = (I_{n+1}^*(y))_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Conjecture 3.** The sequence  $\mathbf{I}^*$  is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive.

- I have checked this through the  $8 \times 8$  Hankel matrix.
- The analogous result for *fixed real*  $y \in [0, 1]$  can be *proven* by using a result of Laguerre on the real-rootedness of the “deformed exponential function”

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} y^{n(n-1)/2}$$

This is what led me to conjecture the *coefficientwise* Hankel-total positivity.

- I *believe* the result for  $\mathbf{I}^*$  implies the one for  $\mathbf{I}$ , by virtue of a general fact about Hadamard products; but I need to check this more carefully!

**Conjecture 4.** *All* the Hankel minors of  $\mathbf{I}^*$  have coefficients that are log-concave.

- I have checked this through the  $8 \times 8$  Hankel matrix.
- For the  $2 \times 2$  minors, I have checked it for  $1 \leq m \leq n \leq 137$ .



## Binomial discriminant polynomials

- Define  $F_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{k(k-1)/2}$
- Can be considered as a “ $y$ -deformation” of the binomial  $(1+x)^n$ .  
It is also the Jensen polynomial of the deformed exponential function.
- Now define the *binomial discriminant polynomial*

$$\overline{D}_n(y) = \text{disc}_x F_n(x, y)$$

- $\overline{D}_n(y)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients
- It has degree  $n(n-1)^2/2$  and has first and last terms

$$\overline{D}_n(y) = b_n^2 y^{n(n-1)(n-2)/3} + \dots + (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} n^n y^{n(n-1)^2/2}$$

where

$$b_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} = \prod_{k=1}^n k^{2k-1-n} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n k^k}{\prod_{k=1}^n k!}$$

(does this sequence have any standard name?)

- The first few  $\overline{D}_n(y)$  are:

$$\overline{D}_0(y) = 1$$

$$\overline{D}_1(y) = 1$$

$$\overline{D}_2(y) = 4 - 4y$$

$$\overline{D}_3(y) = 81y^2 - 216y^3 + 162y^4 + 0y^5 - 27y^6$$

$$\overline{D}_4(y) = 9216y^8 - 44032y^9 + 76032y^{10} - 46080y^{11} - 15360y^{12} \\ + 27648y^{13} - 4608y^{14} - 3072y^{15} + 0y^{16} + 0y^{17} + 256y^{18}$$

⋮

## Reduced binomial discriminant polynomials

- $\overline{D}_n(y)$  has a factor  $y^{n(n-1)(n-2)/3}$  and also a factor  $(1-y)^{n(n-1)/2}$  [coming from the fact that the  $n$  roots of  $F_n(x, y)$  all coalesce as  $y \rightarrow 1$ ].

- So define the *reduced binomial discriminant polynomial*

$$J_n(y) = \frac{\overline{D}_n(y)}{y^{n(n-1)(n-2)/3} (1-y)^{n(n-1)/2}}$$

- $J_n(y)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients
- It has degree  $\binom{n}{3}$  and has first and last terms

$$J_n(y) = b_n^2 + \dots + n^n y^{\binom{n}{3}}$$

- $J_n(1) = \prod_{k=1}^n k^k$  (hyperfactorials)

- The first few  $J_n(y)$  are:

$$J_0(y) = 1$$

$$J_1(y) = 1$$

$$J_2(y) = 4$$

$$J_3(y) = 81 + 27y$$

$$J_4(y) = 9216 + 11264y + 5376y^2 + 1536y^3 + 256y^4$$

⋮

**Conjecture 1.** The coefficients of  $J_n(y)$  are nonnegative (in fact, strictly positive).

**Conjecture 2.** The coefficients of  $J_n(y)$  are log-concave (in fact, strictly log-concave).

- I have checked these conjectures for  $n \leq 40$ .
- What are the coefficients of  $J_n(y)$  counting?
- Might these coefficients be the  $h$ -vector for some matroid???

## Reduced binomial discriminant polynomials (continued)

Now form the sequence  $\mathbf{J} = (J_n(y))_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Conjecture 3.** The sequence  $\mathbf{J}$  is coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive.

- In fact, all the Hankel minors of  $\mathbf{J}$  seem to have coefficients that are *strictly positive*.
- I have checked this through the  $8 \times 8$  Hankel matrix.

**Conjecture 4.** All the Hankel minors of  $\mathbf{J}$  have coefficients that are log-concave (in fact, strictly log-concave).

- I have checked this through the  $8 \times 8$  Hankel matrix.
- For the  $2 \times 2$  minors, I have checked it for  $1 \leq m \leq n \leq 39$ .

Now look at the normalized polynomials  $\mathbf{J}^* = (J_n^*(y))_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Conjecture 5.** The sequence  $\mathbf{J}^*$  is coefficientwise *strongly log-convex*: that is, all the  $2 \times 2$  minors  $J_{m-1}^* J_{n+1}^* - J_m^* J_n^*$  have non-negative coefficients.

- I have checked this for  $1 \leq m \leq n \leq 39$ .
- The  $3 \times 3$  and higher minors do *not* have nonnegative coefficients.

**Conjecture 6.** All the  $2 \times 2$  minors  $J_{m-1}^* J_{n+1}^* - J_m^* J_n^*$  have coefficients that are log-concave (in fact, strictly log-concave except when  $m = n = 1$ ).

- I have checked this for  $1 \leq m \leq n \leq 39$ .

## (Tentative) Conclusion

- Many interesting sequences  $(P_n(\mathbf{x}))_{n \geq 0}$  of combinatorial polynomials are (or appear to be) coefficientwise Hankel-totally positive.
- In some cases this can be proven by the Flajolet–Viennot method of continued fractions.
  - Flajolet and Viennot emphasized J-type continued fractions because they are more general.
  - But S-type continued fractions, when they exist, often have simpler coefficients; and they are the most direct tool for proving Hankel-total positivity.
  - Roughly speaking:

J-type c.f.  $\iff$  general orthogonal polynomials  $\iff$  Hamburger moment problem

S-type c.f.  $\iff$  orthogonal polynomials on  $[0, \infty)$   $\iff$  Stieltjes moment problem  
 $\iff$  Hankel-total positivity

- For the other cases, new methods of proof will be needed.
- Deepest cases seem to be  $I_n(y)$  and  $J_n(y)$ :
  - For  $I_n(y)$ , even the log-convexity  $I_{n-1}I_{n+1} \succeq I_n^2$  is an open problem. (Bijective proof??)
  - For  $J_n(y)$ , even the nonnegativity  $J_n \succeq 0$  is an open problem! We really need to know what  $J_n(y)$  is counting!

*Dédié à la mémoire de Philippe Flajolet*